

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/631,576		08/04/2000	Larry W. Blake	TEKIA.002A	1860		
20995	7590	05/04/2004		EXAMINER			
		NS OLSON & BEA	BLANCO, JAVIER G				
2040 MAIN				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, C	A 92614			3738 15			
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	\$		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			18
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	19
	09/631,576	BLAKE, LARRY W	! .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Javier G. Blanco	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 40,51-72,74,75 and 77-81 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 40,51-53,56,67,68,74 and 77-81 is/7) ☐ Claim(s) 54,55,57-66,69-72 and 75 is/are observed is/are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. /are rejected. ojected to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 77-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

New claim limitation "extend generally in the plane of the optic (or haptic)" (see claims 77-79) renders the claim vague and indefinite as to the distribution of said structures in the plane of the optic (or haptic). By "generally extending in the plane" it could be interpreted that those structures extend either parallel or perpendicular to said plane.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 40, 51-53, 56, 67, 68, 74, and 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Benjamin (FR 2,728,459).

As seen in Figures 3, 4, and 8-15, Benjamin discloses a two-part IOL comprising an optic (Figures 8-11: lens 37; Figures 12-15: lens 43) made of silicone (see page 9) and a haptic(s) (double ring 28) made of PMMA (see page 9).

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

With specific reference to Figures 8-11, Benjamin teaches at least two cleats (bridges 31) on the haptic (double ring 28) extending generally in the plane of the haptic, and at least two eyelets (peripheral holding device 53 having a median slit 38) on the optic (lens 37) allowing each of said cleats to firmly attach to one of said eyelets on the (see pages 6-8), wherein said two-part IOL is configured to pass completely through a small opening without folding the haptic (see page 6 for explanation of how double ring 28 is inserted by rotation by inserting first chamfered edge 17 of narrow oblique opening 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 77 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Benjamin (FR 2,728,459).

As seen in Figures 3, 4, and 8-15, Benjamin discloses a two-part IOL comprising an optic (Figures 8-11: lens 37; Figures 12-15: lens 43) made of silicone (see page 9) and a haptic(s) (double ring 28) made of PMMA (see page 9).

With specific reference to Figures 12-15, Benjamin teaches at least two cleats on the optic (lens 43), at least two eyelets (keyhole openings 24) on the haptic (double ring 28) allowing the cleats to firmly attach to the eyelets (see pages 7 and 8), wherein said two-part IOL is configured to pass completely through a small opening without folding the haptic (see page 6 for

Application/Control Number: 09/631,576

Art Unit: 3738

explanation of how double ring 28 is inserted by rotation by inserting first chamfered edge 17 of narrow oblique opening 15). Two possible interpretations of what the Examiner considers as the cleats in the optic:

First interpretation: The external area 44 + projection 45 + stem 46 comprises a cleat assembly. As seen in Figures 14 and 15, said assembly extends generally in the plane of the optic.

Second interpretation: Projection 45 + stem 46 comprises a cleat. With this interpretation, said cleats extend perpendicular to the plane of the optic. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to extend the cleat generally in the plane of the optic because Applicant has not disclosed that extending the cleat generally in the plane of the optic provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perfom equally well with the cleat extending in other positions as long as it will firmly attach to the corresponding eyelet. Also, the incision required for insertion would still be minimal.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 40 and 77-79 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 54, 55, 57-66, 69-72, and 75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected 8. base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this 9. Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 703-605-4259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off. Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

May 1st, 2004

Dávíð H. Willse Primary Examiner